

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

CM

,	SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
-	08/714,987	09/17/96	SHARKEY	Н	17616-705

QM32/0809

PAUL DAVIS WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI 650 PAGE MILL ROAD PALO ALTO CA 94034

EXA	MINER
SHAY, D	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3739	<i>4</i> 7

GROUP 220

DATE MAILED:

08/09/00

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

CODY ACTION

		ADVISORY ACTIO)N				
Ø T⊦	HE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:						
a) 🗾	is extended to run 6 mm	the or continues to run	from the date of the final rejection				
b) 🗆	avoires three months from th	adate of the final rejection or as of the mory period for the response expire later the	ailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. nan six months from the date of the final rejection.	In no			
	The date on which the respon	nse, the petition, and the fee have been period of extension and the correspondin	FR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropri filed is the date of the response and also the date for g amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 3 tutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.	ine 7 CFR			
Ai	ppellant's Brief is due in accord	ance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).					
Z Ai	Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed July 24, 2000 has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:						
	•		e entered and the final rejection stands because:				
	a. There is no convincing presented.	showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the	proposed amendment is necessary and was not early	ier			
	b. They raise new issues	that would require further consideration a	nd/or search. (See Note).				
	c. They raise the issue of	new matter. (See Note).					
	d. They are not deemed appeal.	to place the application in better form for	appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issue	s for			
	e. They present additiona	al claims without cancelling a correspond	ng number of finally rejected claims.				
	NOTE: distal and p	e near ilsues	coopeal and sense position				
2	Newly proposed or amended the non-allowable claims.	I claims would be al	lowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment ca	incelling			
3. 📭		proposed amendment will be enter	ed Will not be entered and the status of the claim	s will			
	Claims allowed:	,					
	0.0	<u>. </u>					
	Claims rejected:						
	However;						
	Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):						
4. [The affidavit, exhibit or requi	est for reconsideration has been consider	ed but does not overcome the rejection because				
5. [The affidavit or exhibit will no presented.	t be considered because applicant has n	ot shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not e	earlier			
ть	he proposed drawing correction	has has not been approved b	y the examiner.				
`□ o	Other	`					
-			DAVID M. SHAY				
			PRIMARY EXAMINER				